

Cultural and Archaeological Submissions

Submitter name	Submitter number	Submission point #	Topic	Provision #	Support/Oppose/Support in part	Relief sought	Reason for submission	Request to be heard	Joint heard where similar submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	85	85.1	Archaeological	PPC85 in general	Support in part	<p>The submitter seeks the following note to be included in any earthwork sections of the PPC and resource assessment criteria;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><u>An Archaeological Authority under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 will be required for any development in the immediate vicinity of the identified archaeological sites and features and adjacent areas, which are highly archaeologically sensitive.</u></b></li> <li><b><u>That where any archaeological assessment is completed, the recommendations of the archaeologist shall be followed in any resource consent implementation activity.</u></b></li> </ul>	The submitter considers that site specific heritage, cultural and archaeological assessments should be included within resource consent criteria within the proposed plan change. The submitter recommends that a Heritage Management Plan be required for the plan change area to outline the process for managing any discovered archaeological features, including engagement with iwi.	Y	Y
Cabra Mangawhai Limited and Pro Land Matters Company	FS11	FS11.5	Archaeological	PPC85 in general	Support	Allow the submission relief	<p>The further submitter supports the submission point to require archaeological, heritage and cultural assessment.</p> <p>The further submitter supports formal surveying of Midden R08/256 and clarification of whether it will be contained in a future esplanade reserve or not and provision of a requirement for protective fencing if required and appropriate.</p>	Y	
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	85	85.2	Cultural	Cultural effects assessment	Support	None specified.	<p>The submitter acknowledges that the Te Uri o Hau have developed a culturally based environmental management plan that includes the area within the proposed plan change. The submitter notes that the environmental management plan advocates and supports kaitiakitanga and the management and development of natural resources within The statutory area of Te Uri o Hau.</p> <p>The submitter also notes that parts of the plan change area are identified as areas of Significance to Māori in the Operative and proposed Kaipara District Plan.</p>		
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	85	85.3	Planning matters	DEV1 X-R1. e	Support in part	Any area of archaeological, cultural or spiritual significance is identified and physically and legally protected <b><u>and avoided.</u></b> <b><u>If the site is modified or destroyed, obtain an Authority is obtained from Heritage New Zealand.</u></b>	The submitter seeks to amend the plan change to include the implementation of the Accidental Discovery Protocol, either as an advice note or rule.		
Cabra Mangawhai Limited and Pro Land Matters Company	FS11	FS11.6	Archaeological	PPC85 in general	Support	Allow the submission relief	The further submitter supports the submission point to require provisions to reflect the Accidental Discovery Protocols.	Y	